



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/319,411	10/06/1994	PETER NIELSEN	ISIS1158	ISIS1158 8648	
32650	7590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			MARSCHEL	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLC PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1631		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
_
•
•

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal E	Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/319,411	NIELSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ardin Morochol	1621	

Advisory Action	00/3/3,4//	INICEOCIA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ardin Marschel	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expiresmortus from the maining b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <i>05 April 2005</i> . A brief i	in compliance with 37 CEP 41 37 m	wet he filed within two	months of the			
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the			
AMENDMENTS	hant and an to the short of filling a balafi					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L Delow),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(070) 00()			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			unt namaalina tha			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	ilowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the preposed amendment(s)-s	will not be entered, or b) 🛛 wi	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	vided below or appended.	•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>53,63 and 64</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b - f	-4:£ A1 :!!!	4 hr4			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu of reasons of record as further explained as attached. 			nce because:			
 I2. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) I3. ☑ Other: 1 copy of Exr. Int. Sum. 						
		•				

Application/Control Number: 08/319,411

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

<u>Further explanation of item # 1 on the enclosed Advisory action:</u>

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 53, 63, and 64 based on claims 1, 4, 5, and 7 of U.S. Patent 6,395,474 is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 10/6/04, and reiterated in the Advisory action, mailed 12/23/04.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 53 and 63 based on claims 1 and 12 of U.S. Patent 6,613,873 is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 10/6/04, and reiterated in the Advisory action, mailed 12/23/04.

For both the above Patent claims, the overlapping and common embodiments of peptide nucleic acid are part of a small genus in which each member is immediately envisioned which is also as claimed in claims 53, 63, and 64 of the instant application. In the Interview of 3/3/05 Joe Lucci requested review of In re Baird, 29 USPQ2d 1552,1552. Consideration of said In re Baird as to non-obviousness reveals that the genus claims utilized as alleged suggesting species therein covered an estimated 100 million species which is a vastly different fact pattern from the instant application and Patent claims which cover only a few species of linkage types numbering even fewer that a dozen. Such a small genus of species reasonably suggests and motivates such species due to the immediate envisioning of each of these species in such a small genus. Thus In re Baird is moot regarding overcoming these rejections due to the vastly different fact pattern therein compared to the above reiterated and maintained rejections.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices

Application/Control Number: 08/319,411 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 7, 2005

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER